

**AMENDMENT OF SOLICITATION**

1. AMENDMENT NUMBER <b>A001</b>	2. DATE ISSUED <b>October 2, 2006</b>	3. NUMBER OF PAGES <b>Three (3)</b>
4. ISSUED BY  UNIVERSITY OF MARYLAND DEPARTMENT OF PROCUREMENT AND SUPPLY 2113-R CHESAPEAKE BUILDING COLLEGE PARK, MARYLAND 20742 POINT OF CONTACT: <b>James S. Stirling</b> TELEPHONE NUMBER: <b>301-405-3372</b> FACSIMILE NUMBER: <b>301-314-9565</b> ELECTRONIC MAIL ADDRESS: <b>jstirlin@umd.edu</b>	5. ADMINISTERED BY (If other than Item 4)  <b>Same as Item 4</b>	
6. NAME, ADDRESS AND FEI NUMBER OF CONTRACTOR  <b>Released to All Interested Parties</b>	7A. AMENDMENT OF SOLICITATION NUMBER  <b>East Campus Redevelopment Initiative RFP # 82465-W</b>	
	7B. DATED  <b>September 25, 2006</b>	

**8. AMENDMENT OF SOLICITATION**

The solicitation identified in 7A above is amended as set forth in Item 9.  
 The due date and time specified for receipt of offers/bids  is extended,  is not extended.  
 Contractor must acknowledge receipt of this amendment prior to the due date and time specified in the solicitation or as amended, by completing Items 6 and 10 and returning 1 copy(ies) of the amendment to the Issuing Office identified in Item 4.  
**FAILURE OF CONTRACTOR'S ACKNOWLEDGEMENT TO BE RECEIVED AT THE PLACE DESIGNATED FOR RECEIPT OF OFFERS/BIDS PRIOR TO THE DUE DATE AND TIME SPECIFIED MAY RENDER CONTRACTOR'S OFFER UNACCEPTABLE/NON-RESPONSIVE AND SUBJECT TO REJECTION.**

9. DESCRIPTION OF AMENDMENT (Use additional pages if required)

**1. The time for receipt of proposals is revised from 2:00 PM on Friday, October 13, 2006 to a new time of 10:00 AM on Friday, October 13, 2006.**

**2. Section III D. Proposal Submittal 1., last sentence, is modified as follows:  
 "Proposers shall submit one (1) original and twenty (20) copies, for a total of twenty-one (21) sets of the Proposal."**

**3. Proposers shall acknowledge receipt of this Amendment #A001 by completing BLOCK 10 below and returning one (1) signed original of this form with the submittal of the original Part A Proposal.**

\*\*\*\*\*CONTINUED ON PAGE 2\*\*\*\*\*

Except as provided herein, all terms and conditions of the document referenced in Item 7A, including previous amendments, if any, shall remain in full force and effect.

10A. NAME AND TITLE OF SIGNER (Type or Print)	11A. NAME OF PROCUREMENT OFFICER (Type or Print)
10B. CONTRACTOR SIGNATURE  (Signature of Person Authorized to Sign)	10C. DATE SIGNED  <b>James S. Stirling</b>

**Description of Amendment (Continued):**

The following changes are made to the solicitation referenced in Block 7A of the previous page.

4. The following information is provided in response to questions received between release of the RFP on September 25, 2006 and Noon on October 1, 2006. The deadline for receipt of questions remains 4:00 PM on Tuesday, October 3, 2006:

Question #1: Questions are related to the office portion of the development. My understanding is that the University has about 226,000 square feet of office requirements to house the East Campus Facilities.

Answer #1: The 226,000 square feet includes office space as well as other administrative spaces, such as: vehicle maintenance garages, maintenance shops, a mail distribution facility and storage/warehouse space. Approximately 60,000 of the 226,000 GSF is traditional office space.

Question #1a: What lease structure/terms are involved with the lease (i.e. term, rental rate, landlord tenant relationship, ownership, etc.)?

Answer #1a: The specific terms of the Ground Lease are subject to negotiation with the successful Developer. However, the University will retain ownership of the land and the term of the Ground lease will not exceed 70 years. More detailed information will be furnished to finalists invited to submit Part B Proposals.

Question #1b: Would the University like all of these requirements under one, two, or three roofs? Are they OK sharing a building with other tenants?

Answer #1b: The University has no predetermined requirement for the number of buildings required. There are functional and program requirements for these facilities, however, which will be provided to those finalists invited to submit Part B Proposals. The University is willing to share a building with other compatible tenants, depending on how building lease/ownership issues are resolved.

Question #1c: Are there any special building construction features required for the University's requirement?

Answer #1c: If the replacement building(s) are built on the campus, they must be of red brick and generally match the character and appearance of other similar campus buildings. If the replacement facilities are built off campus on land purchased by the developer, their appearance should be consistent with the architectural character of adjacent properties.

Question #2: A client of ours did not complete the REOI and I just wanted to make sure that this did not exclude them from responding to the RFP.

Answer #2: The RFP has been publicly advertised and is open to any interested party. Response to the REOI was not a prerequisite for responding to the RFP.

Question #3: We wanted to check and see if you all (the University) about what kind of terms you would want on the ground lease?

Answer #3: See response to #1a. above.

Question #4: We missed the 9/14/06 deadline for expression of interest. If we submit our response to Part A of the RFP by the due date of (10/13/06), can our proposal still be considered?

Answer #4: See response to #2 above.

Question #5: Instead of having the Developer relocate facilities for the University's use, would the University consider alternative approaches such as accepting payment, ground rent or other form of funding for the land...and then have the University undertake the relocation projects itself?

Answer #5: Yes, the University would consider alternative approaches wherein the University actually constructed/purchased these facilities utilizing funding provided by the Developer.

Question #43: (This is a restatement of Question #43 from the Developer Informational Session of 9/14/2006, followed by a revised response from the University). Market Studies: Is the Market Study mentioned in the presentation focused on the University or on the surrounding area (our economic competitors)?

Answer #43: THIS REPLACES PREVIOUS RESPONSE: The market study focuses on the site and therefore considers all factors, University-related and other.

\*\*\*\*\*END OF AMENDMENT A001\*\*\*\*\*  
\*\*\*\*\*REMAINDER OF PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK\*\*\*\*\*